

Application of the Sea-Level Affecting Marshes Model (SLAMM 6) to Archie Carr NWR

U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service
National Wildlife Refuge System
Division of Natural Resources and Conservation Planning
Conservation Biology Program
4401 N. Fairfax Drive - MS 670
Arlington, VA 22203

September 9, 2010

Jonathan S. Clough & Evan C. Larson, Warren Pinnacle Consulting, Inc.
PO Box 253, Warren VT, 05674
(802)-496-3476

Application of the Sea-Level Affecting Marshes Model (SLAMM 6) to Archie Carr NWR

Introduction.....	1
Model Summary	1
Sea Level Rise Scenarios.....	1
Methods and Data Sources	4
Results	10
Discussion	93
References	94
Appendix A: Contextual Results	96

Introduction

Tidal marshes are among the most susceptible ecosystems to climate change, especially accelerated sea level rise (SLR). The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES) suggested that global sea level will increase by approximately 30 cm to 100 cm by 2100 (IPCC 2001). Rahmstorf (2007) suggests that this range may be too conservative and that the feasible range by 2100 is 50 to 140 cm. Rising sea levels may result in tidal marsh submergence (Moorhead and Brinson 1995) and habitat “migration” as salt marshes transgress landward and replace tidal freshwater and Irregularly Flooded marsh (Park et al. 1991).

In an effort to address the potential effects of sea level rise on United States national wildlife refuges, the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service contracted the application of the SLAMM model for most Region 1 refuges. This analysis is designed to assist in the production of comprehensive conservation plans (CCPs) for each refuge along with other long-term management plans.

Model Summary

Changes in tidal marsh area and habitat type in response to sea-level rise were modeled using the Sea Level Affecting Marshes Model (SLAMM 6) that accounts for the dominant processes involved in wetland conversion and shoreline modifications during long-term sea level rise (Park et al. 1989; www.warrenpinnacle.com/prof/SLAMM).

Successive versions of the model have been used to estimate the impacts of sea level rise on the coasts of the U.S. (Titus et al., 1991; Lee, J.K., R.A. Park, and P.W. Mause. 1992; Park, R.A., J.K. Lee, and D. Canning 1993; Galbraith, H., R. Jones, R.A. Park, J.S. Clough, S. Herrod-Julius, B. Harrington, and G. Page. 2002; National Wildlife Federation et al., 2006; Glick, Clough, et al. 2007; Craft et al., 2009).

Within SLAMM, there are five primary processes that affect wetland fate under different scenarios of sea-level rise:

- **Inundation:** The rise of water levels and the salt boundary are tracked by reducing elevations of each cell as sea levels rise, thus keeping mean tide level (MTL) constant at zero. The effects on each cell are calculated based on the minimum elevation and slope of that cell.
- **Erosion:** Erosion is triggered based on a threshold of maximum fetch and the proximity of the marsh to estuarine water or open ocean. When these conditions are met, horizontal erosion occurs at a rate based on site-specific data.
- **Overwash:** Barrier islands of under 500 meters width are assumed to undergo overwash during each specified interval for large storms. Beach migration and transport of sediments are calculated.
- **Saturation:** Coastal swamps and fresh marshes can migrate onto adjacent uplands as a response of the fresh water table to rising sea level close to the coast.

- **Accretion:** Sea level rise is offset by sedimentation and vertical accretion using average or site-specific values for each wetland category. Accretion rates may be spatially variable within a given model domain or can be specified to respond to feedbacks such as frequency of flooding.

SLAMM Version 6.0 was developed in 2008/2009 and is based on SLAMM 5. SLAMM 6.0 provides backwards compatibility to SLAMM 5, that is, SLAMM 5 results can be replicated in SLAMM 6. However, SLAMM 6 also provides several optional capabilities.

- **Accretion Feedback Component:** Feedbacks based on wetland elevation, distance to channel, and salinity may be specified. This feedback will be used in USFWS simulations, but only where adequate data exist for parameterization.
- **Salinity Model:** Multiple time-variable freshwater flows may be specified. Salinity is estimated and mapped at MLLW, MHHW, and MTL. Habitat switching may be specified as a function of salinity. This optional sub-model is not utilized in USFWS simulations.
- **Integrated Elevation Analysis:** SLAMM will summarize site-specific categorized elevation ranges for wetlands as derived from LiDAR data or other high-resolution data sets. This functionality is used in USFWS simulations to test the SLAMM conceptual model at each site. The causes of any discrepancies are then tracked down and reported on within the model application report.
- **Flexible Elevation Ranges for land categories:** If site-specific data indicate that wetland elevation ranges are outside of SLAMM defaults, a different range may be specified within the interface. In USFWS simulations, the use of values outside of SLAMM defaults is rarely utilized. If such a change is made, the change and the reason for it are fully documented within the model application reports.
- Many other graphic user interface and memory management improvements are also part of the new version including an updated *Technical Documentation*, and context sensitive help files.

For a thorough accounting of SLAMM model processes and the underlying assumptions and equations, please see the SLAMM 6.0 *Technical Documentation* (Clough, Park, Fuller, 2010). This document is available at <http://warrenpinnacle.com/prof/SLAMM>

All model results are subject to uncertainty due to limitations in input data, incomplete knowledge about factors that control the behavior of the system being modeled, and simplifications of the system (CREM 2008). Site-specific factors that increase or decrease model uncertainty may be covered in the *Discussion* section of this report.

Sea Level Rise Scenarios


SLAMM 6 was run using scenario A1B from the Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES) – mean and maximum estimates. The A1 family of scenarios assumes that the future world includes rapid economic growth, global population that peaks in mid-century and declines thereafter, and the rapid introduction of new and more efficient technologies. In particular, the A1B scenario assumes that energy sources will be balanced across all sources. Under the A1B scenario, the IPCC WGI Fourth Assessment Report (IPCC, 2007) suggests a likely range of 0.21 to 0.48 meters of sea level rise by 2090-2099 “excluding future rapid dynamical changes in ice flow.” The A1B-mean scenario

that was run as a part of this project falls near the middle of this estimated range, predicting 0.39 meters of global sea level rise by 2100. A1B-maximum predicts 0.69 meters of global SLR by 2100.

The latest literature (Chen et al., 2006, Monaghan et al., 2006) indicates that the eustatic rise in sea levels is progressing more rapidly than was previously assumed, perhaps due to the dynamic changes in ice flow omitted within the IPCC report's calculations. A recent paper in the journal *Science* (Rahmstorf, 2007) suggests that, taking into account possible model error, a feasible range by 2100 of 50 to 140 cm. This work was recently updated and the ranges were increased to 75 to 190 cm (Vermeer and Rahmstorf, 2009). Pfeffer et al. (2008) suggests that 2 meters by 2100 is at the upper end of plausible scenarios due to physical limitations on glaciological conditions. A recent US intergovernmental report states "Although no ice-sheet model is currently capable of capturing the glacier speedups in Antarctica or Greenland that have been observed over the last decade, including these processes in models will very likely show that IPCC AR4 projected sea level rises for the end of the 21st century are too low." (US Climate Change Science Program, 2008) A recent paper by Grinsted et. al. (2009) states that "sea level 2090-2099 is projected to be 0.9 to 1.3 m for the A1B scenario..." Grinsted also states that there is a "low probability" that SLR will match the lower IPCC estimates.

To allow for flexibility when interpreting the results, SLAMM was also run assuming 1 meter, 1½ meters, and 2 meters of eustatic sea-level rise by the year 2100. The A1B- maximum scenario was scaled up to produce these bounding scenarios (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Summary of SLR Scenarios Utilized



Methods and Data Sources

The digital elevation map used in this simulation was derived from LiDAR data as supplied by Florida Division of Emergency Management (FDEM) via NOAA and via Brevard County Department of Natural Resources (BCDNR) both with a timestamp of 2007 (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Shade-relief elevation map of refuge (red). NOAA data tinted green and BCDNR data tinted blue.

The wetlands layer for the study area was produced by the National Wetlands Inventory and was based on a 2006 photo date (Figure 2). Converting the NWI survey into 10 meter cells indicates that the approximately 1750 acre refuge (approved acquisition boundary including water) is composed of the following categories (excluding categories below 1%):

	Undeveloped Dry Land	56.3%
	Mangrove	17.6%
	Developed Dry Land	12.3%
	Estuarine Open Water	6.5%
	Ocean Beach	5.8%




Figure 2: Portion of study area for Archie Carr NWR.

According to the National Wetland Inventory, there are no impounded or diked areas within Archie Carr NWR. Nick Wirwe of USFWS indicated that a few small dikes exist within the refuge but are no longer maintained.

Application of the Sea-Level Affecting Marshes Model (SLAMM 6) to Archie Carr NWR

The historic trend for sea level rise was estimated at 2.3 mm/year using the value of the two nearest NOAA gages with SLR data (8723170, Miami Beach, FL; 8721120, Daytona Beach Shores, FL). Based on these data, the rate of sea level rise for this refuge has been slightly higher than the global average for the last 100 years (approximately 1.7 mm/year, IPCC 2007a).

Four tide gages were used to parameterize the tide values for this site (Figure 3). The northern portion of the refuge was parameterized with a tide of 0.12 meters using the gage at Micco, Indian River, FL (8721994). The central portion of the refuge was parameterized with a tide of 0.14 meters using the gage at Sebastian, Indian River, FL (8722029). The southern portion of the refuge was parameterized with a tide of 0.15 meters using the gage at Wabasso, Indian River, FL (8722059).



Figure 3: Location of NOAA tides gages used for Archie Carr NWR.

The elevation at which estuarine water is predicted to regularly inundate the land (the salt elevation) was estimated based on a frequency of inundation analysis using data from the Port of West Palm Beach, FL gage (8722588). This procedure was done to include the effects of wind tides

Application of the Sea-Level Affecting Marshes Model (SLAMM 6) to Archie Carr NWR

within estimates of land inundation. The saline inundation elevation was assumed to occur where water penetrates at least once every 30 days. The derived salt elevation from West Palm Beach was then adjusted for the microtidal regime of this study area. The result was an estimate that salt water regularly penetrates an elevation approximately 0.20 meters above mean tide level (MTL) at the north of the site and 0.22 meters above MTL at the south.

Accretion rates in salt marshes were set to 3.9 mm/year, based on measured rates of accretion in Georgia Marshes (Craft et. al, 2009). This value is also very close to several studies measuring accretion rates on the Gulf Coast of Florida (St. Marks FL, 4.0 mm/year from Cahoon et. al. 1995, and Hendrickson, J.C. 1997; Ochlockonee River FL, 4.05 mm/year from Hendrickson, J.C. 1997).

The MTL to NAVD88 correction was derived using the NOAA gages. Across tide gages the correction ranged from -0.35 meters to -0.26 meters.

Modeled U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service refuge boundaries for Florida are based on Approved Acquisition Boundaries as published on the FWS National Wildlife Refuge Data and Metadata website. The cell-size used for this analysis was 10 meter by 10 meter cells. Note that the SLAMM model will track partial conversion of cells based on elevation and slope.

SUMMARY OF SLAMM INPUT PARAMETERS FOR ARCHIE CARR NWR

Parameter	Global	SubSite 1	SubSite 2	SubSite 3
Description		North	Central	South
NWI Photo Date (YYYY)	2006	2006	2006	2006
DEM Date (YYYY)	2007	2007	2007	2007
Direction Offshore [n,s,e,w]	East	West	West	West
Historic Trend (mm/yr)	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
MTL-NAVD88 (m)	-0.35	-0.258	-0.27	-0.27
GT Great Diurnal Tide Range (m)	1.2	0.12	0.14	0.15
Salt Elev. (m above MTL)	0.81	0.2	0.21	0.22
Marsh Erosion (horz. m /yr)	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8
Swamp Erosion (horz. m /yr)	1	1	1	1
T.Flat Erosion (horz. m /yr)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Reg. Flood Marsh Accr (mm/yr)	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9
Irreg. Flood Marsh Accr (mm/yr)	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Tidal Fresh Marsh Accr (mm/yr)	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9
Beach Sed. Rate (mm/yr)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Freq. Overwash (years)	15	15	15	15
Use Elev Pre-processor [True,False]	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE




Figure 4: Input subsites for model application.

Results

SLAMM predicts that Archie Carr NWR will be moderately impacted depending on the SLR scenario and wetland class. Up to 44% of refuge dry land (developed and undeveloped) is predicted to be lost in the scenarios run. Mangrove acreage is predicted to increase by up to 10% in SLR scenarios of up to 1 meter (negative loss rates shown below). However, once SLR exceeds 1 meter, mangrove losses of up to 41% are predicted. Ocean beach losses are predicted to be significant in all scenarios run.

SLR by 2100 (m)	0.39	0.69	1	1.5	2
Undeveloped Dry Land	6%	13%	21%	33%	48%
Mangrove	-16%	-29%	3%	45%	41%
Developed Dry Land	1%	2%	6%	15%	25%
Ocean Beach	98%	98%	91%	89%	68%

Predicted Loss Rates of Land Categories by 2100 Given Simulated Scenarios of Eustatic Sea Level Rise




Figure 5: Archie Carr NWR.

Complete and exclusive maps of Archie Carr NWR would look like the graphic shown above. Due to the wide geographic reach of this refuge, it was determined that such maps would not provide adequate resolution to visualize model results. For this reason, output maps were divided as shown in Figure 6 below. Tables of results pertain to land within the refuge boundary only, however.




Figure 6: Output sites for Archie Carr NWR.


Application of the Sea-Level Affecting Marshes Model (SLAMM 6) to Archie Carr NWR

Archie Carr NWR


IPCC Scenario A1B-Mean, 0.39 M SLR Eustatic by 2100

Results in Acres


		Initial	2025	2050	2075	2100
	Undeveloped Dry Land	985.7	974.3	966.5	949.9	924.6
	Mangrove	307.9	312.4	320.1	336.2	358.2
	Developed Dry Land	215.5	215.0	214.8	214.5	214.2
	Estuarine Open Water	113.3	120.3	120.3	120.4	121.2
	Ocean Beach	101.5	100.7	94.6	62.0	2.1
	Inland Open Water	8.9	8.9	8.9	8.9	8.7
	Tidal Swamp	8.2	8.0	7.9	7.5	7.2
	Irregularly Flooded Marsh	7.8	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2
	Open Ocean	3.0	4.5	10.8	43.9	106.4
	Estuarine Beach	0.0	0.7	0.8	1.5	2.1
	Total (incl. water)	1752.0	1752.0	1752.0	1752.0	1752.0




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, Initial Condition




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, 2025, Scenario A1B Mean




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, 2050, Scenario A1B Mean




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, 2075, Scenario A1B Mean




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, 2100, Scenario A1B Mean




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, Initial Condition




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, 2025, Scenario A1B Mean




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, 2050, Scenario A1B Mean




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, 2075, Scenario A1B Mean




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, 2100, Scenario A1B Mean




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, Initial Condition




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, 2025, Scenario A1B Mean



Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, 2050, Scenario A1B Mean



Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, 2075, Scenario A1B Mean



Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, 2100, Scenario A1B Mean


Application of the Sea-Level Affecting Marshes Model (SLAMM 6) to Archie Carr NWR

Archie Carr NWR


IPCC Scenario A1B-Max, 0.69 M SLR Eustatic by 2100

Results in Acres


		Initial	2025	2050	2075	2100
	Undeveloped Dry Land	985.7	972.0	954.8	909.1	853.6
	Mangrove	307.9	314.6	331.7	371.3	396.5
	Developed Dry Land	215.5	215.0	214.6	214.0	211.2
	Estuarine Open Water	113.3	120.4	120.6	124.7	153.7
	Ocean Beach	101.5	100.6	29.3	2.8	1.5
	Inland Open Water	8.9	8.9	8.9	8.7	8.2
	Tidal Swamp	8.2	8.0	7.6	7.0	6.5
	Irregularly Flooded Marsh	7.8	7.2	6.6	4.1	1.3
	Open Ocean	3.0	4.6	76.4	106.8	112.4
	Estuarine Beach	0.0	0.7	1.5	3.5	7.0
	Total (incl. water)	1752.0	1752.0	1752.0	1752.0	1752.0




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, Initial Condition




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, 2025, Scenario A1B Maximum




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, 2050, Scenario A1B Maximum




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, 2075, Scenario A1B Maximum



Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, 2100, Scenario A1B Maximum




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, Initial Condition




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, 2025, Scenario A1B Maximum


Prepared for USFWS




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, 2075, Scenario A1B Maximum




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, 2100, Scenario A1B Maximum




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, Initial Condition




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, 2025, Scenario A1B Maximum



Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, 2050, Scenario A1B Maximum



Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, 2075, Scenario A1B Maximum



Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, 2100, Scenario A1B Maximum


Application of the Sea-Level Affecting Marshes Model (SLAMM 6) to Archie Carr NWR

Archie Carr NWR


1 Meter Eustatic SLR by 2100

Results in Acres


		Initial	2025	2050	2075	2100
	Undeveloped Dry Land	985.7	969.2	936.1	860.6	779.9
	Mangrove	307.9	317.3	344.0	349.0	297.8
	Developed Dry Land	215.5	214.9	214.3	211.8	203.2
	Estuarine Open Water	113.3	120.8	129.4	197.4	315.2
	Ocean Beach	101.5	89.0	0.2	3.2	9.5
	Inland Open Water	8.9	8.9	8.9	7.1	5.5
	Tidal Swamp	8.2	7.9	7.2	6.5	5.9
	Irregularly Flooded Marsh	7.8	6.9	4.1	0.5	0.1
	Open Ocean	3.0	16.3	105.8	108.4	116.6
	Estuarine Beach	0.0	0.8	1.8	7.4	18.5
	Total (incl. water)	1752.0	1752.0	1752.0	1752.0	1752.0




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, Initial Condition




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, 2025, 1 Meter




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, 2050, 1 Meter




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, 2075, 1 Meter




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, 2100, 1 Meter




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, Initial Condition




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, 2025, 1 Meter




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, 2050, 1 Meter




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, 2075, 1 Meter




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, 2100, 1 Meter




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, Initial Condition




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, 2025, 1 Meter



Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, 2050, 1 Meter



Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, 2075, 1 Meter



Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, 2100, 1 Meter


Application of the Sea-Level Affecting Marshes Model (SLAMM 6) to Archie Carr NWR

Archie Carr NWR


1.5 Meters Eustatic SLR by 2100

Results in Acres


		Initial	2025	2050	2075	2100
	Undeveloped Dry Land	985.7	964.2	895.0	777.2	658.7
	Mangrove	307.9	318.2	325.2	275.6	168.8
	Developed Dry Land	215.5	214.8	213.7	203.5	184.3
	Estuarine Open Water	113.3	125.6	187.8	339.9	549.7
	Ocean Beach	101.5	38.6	3.2	7.2	11.1
	Inland Open Water	8.9	8.9	7.7	5.9	2.7
	Tidal Swamp	8.2	7.8	6.8	5.8	5.1
	Irregularly Flooded Marsh	7.8	6.2	0.7	0.0	0.0
	Open Ocean	3.0	66.8	106.8	116.4	139.4
	Estuarine Beach	0.0	0.8	5.1	20.6	32.3
	Total (incl. water)	1752.0	1752.0	1752.0	1752.0	1752.0




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, Initial Condition




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, 2025, 1.5 Meters




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, 2050, 1.5 Meters




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, 2075, 1.5 Meters




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, 2100, 1.5 Meters




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, Initial Condition




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, 2025, 1.5 Meters




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, 2050, 1.5 Meters




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, 2075, 1.5 Meters




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, 2100, 1.5 Meters




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, Initial Condition




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, 2025, 1.5 Meters



Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, 2050, 1.5 Meters



Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, 2075, 1.5 Meters



Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, 2100, 1.5 Meters


Application of the Sea-Level Affecting Marshes Model (SLAMM 6) to Archie Carr NWR

Archie Carr NWR


2 Meters Eustatic SLR by 2100

Results in Acres


		Initial	2025	2050	2075	2100
	Undeveloped Dry Land	985.7	958.2	851.5	701.1	513.9
	Mangrove	307.9	314.5	307.2	189.1	182.1
	Developed Dry Land	215.5	214.7	211.3	191.5	161.5
	Estuarine Open Water	113.3	136.2	247.9	494.2	655.4
	Ocean Beach	101.5	0.0	3.6	9.6	32.8
	Inland Open Water	8.9	8.9	7.1	2.7	0.4
	Tidal Swamp	8.2	7.6	6.4	5.3	4.1
	Irregularly Flooded Marsh	7.8	5.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
	Open Ocean	3.0	105.5	108.0	123.4	151.0
	Estuarine Beach	0.0	1.4	8.8	35.0	50.8
	Total (incl. water)	1752.0	1752.0	1752.0	1752.0	1752.0




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, Initial Condition




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, 2025, 2 Meters




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, 2050, 2 Meters




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, 2075, 2 Meters




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 1, 2100, 2 Meters




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, Initial Condition




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, 2025, 2 Meters




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, 2050, 2 Meters




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, 2075, 2 Meters




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 2, 2100, 2 Meters




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, Initial Condition




Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, 2025, 2 Meters



Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, 2050, 2 Meters



Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, 2075, 2 Meters



Archie Carr NWR, Output Site 3, 2100, 2 Meters

Discussion

As sea-level rise (SLR) scenarios increase in magnitude, dry land within Archie Carr NWR is predicted to be subject to more frequent inundation and subsequent mangrove colonization. As mentioned above, wind-tides can have an effect on the elevation range at which salt water penetrates. Our accounting of the effects of wind-tides is uncertain due to the relatively long distance between the study site and the gage where the wind-tide estimates were derived (Port of West Palm Beach).

Ocean beach losses are predicted to be quite high, but these results are subject to uncertainty; an accurate spatial representation of ocean-beach erosion is difficult to characterize within a relatively simple model. This application of SLAMM utilized an implementation of the Bruun Rule to determine average ocean-beach erosion rates.

As noted above, due to this inundation of dry lands, mangrove habitat is predicted to increase in acreage during lower SLR scenarios. Mangroves have been shown to have a relatively high vertical accretion rate (Cahoon et al. 1999) and also can thrive over a relatively wide elevation range. Model uncertainty for this application is increased, however by the reliance on off-site mangrove accretion data.

Archie Carr NWR has recent high-quality elevation data and also wetlands data that are quite current (2006 NWT). For this reason, model uncertainty due to land-cover or elevation-data uncertainty is lower at this site relative to other sites with older or less precise data.

References

- Cahoon, D.R., J. W. Day, Jr., and D. J. Reed, 1999. "The influence of surface and shallow subsurface soil processes on wetland elevation: A synthesis." *Current Topics in Wetland Biogeochemistry*, 3, 72-88.
- Cahoon, D. R., D.J. Reed, and J.W. Day, Jr. 1995. Estimating shallow subsidence in microtidal salt marshes of the southeastern United States: Kaye and Barghoorn revisited. *Marine Geology* 128:1-9.
- Chen, J. L., Wilson, C. R., Tapley, B. D., 2006 "Satellite Gravity Measurements Confirm Accelerated Melting of Greenland Ice Sheet" *Science* 2006 0: 1129007
- Clark, J. S. and W. A. Patterson III. 1984. Pollen, Pb-210 and sedimentation in the intertidal environment. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 54(4):1249-1263.
- Clough, J.S. Park, R.A. and R. Fuller, 2010, *SLAMM Technical Documentation, Release 6.0 beta, Draft*, January 2010, <http://warrenpinnacle.com/prof/SLAMM>
- Craft C, Clough J, Ehman J, Guo H, Joye S, Machmuller M, Park R, and Pennings S. Effects of Accelerated Sea Level Rise on Delivery of Ecosystem Services Provided by Tidal Marshes: A Simulation of the Georgia (USA) Coast. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*. 2009; 7, doi:10.1890/070219
- Council for Regulatory Environmental Modeling, (CREM) 2008. *Draft guidance on the development, evaluation, and application of regulatory environmental models* P Pascual, N Stiber, E Sunderland - Washington DC: Draft, August 2008
- Erwin, RM, GM Sanders, DJ Prosser, and DR Cahoon. 2006. High tides and rising seas: potential effects on estuarine waterbirds. Pages 214-228 in: *Terrestrial Vertebrates of Tidal Marshes: Evolution, Ecology, and Conservation* (R. Greenberg, J. Maldonado, S. Droegge, and M.V. McDonald, eds.). *Studies in Avian Biology* No. 32, Cooper Ornithological Society.
- Glick, Clough, et al. *Sea-level Rise and Coastal Habitats in the Pacific Northwest An Analysis for Puget Sound, Southwestern Washington, and Northwestern Oregon* July 2007
<http://www.nwf.org/sealevelrise/pdfs/PacificNWSeaLevelRise.pdf>
- Hendrickson, J.C. 1997. Coastal wetland response to rising sea-level: quantification of short- and long-term accretion and subsidence, northeastern Gulf of Mexico. MS thesis, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL. USA.
- IPCC, 2001: *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Houghton, J.T., Y. Ding, D.J. Griggs, M. Noguer, P.J. van der Linden, X. Dai, K. Maskell, and C.A. Johnson (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 881pp.
- IPCC, 2007. *Climate Change 2007 - The Physical Science Basis: Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the IPCC*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. ISBN 978 0521 88009-1.


- Lee, J.K., R.A. Park, and P.W. Mause. 1992. Application of Geoprocessing and Simulation Modeling to Estimate Impacts of Sea Level Rise on the Northeast Coast of Florida. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* 58:11:1579-1586.
- Monaghan, A. J. *et al*, 2006 “Insignificant Change in Antarctic Snowfall Since the International Geophysical Year” *Science* 2006 313: 827-831.
- National Wildlife Fed’n et al., *An Unfavorable Tide: Global Warming, Coastal Habitats and Sportfishing in Florida* 4, 6 (2006).
<http://www.targetglobalwarming.org/files/AnUnfavorableTideReport.pdf>
- Park, R.A., M.S. Trehan, P.W. Mause, and R.C. Howe. 1989a. The Effects of Sea Level Rise on U.S. Coastal Wetlands. In *The Potential Effects of Global Climate Change on the United States: Appendix B - Sea Level Rise*, edited by J.B. Smith and D.A. Tirpak, 1-1 to 1-55. EPA-230-05-89-052. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- Park, RA, JK Lee, PW Mause and RC Howe. 1991. Using remote sensing for modeling the impacts of sea level rise. *World Resources Review* 3:184-220.
- Pfeffer, Harper, O’Neel, 2008. Kinematic Constraints on Glacier Contributions to 21st-Century Sea-Level Rise. *Science*, Vol. 321, No. 5894. (5 September 2008), pp. 1340-134
- Rahmstorf, Stefan 2007, “A Semi-Empirical Approach to Projecting Future Sea-Level Rise,” *Science* 2007 315: 368-370.
- Reed, D.J., D.A. Bishara, D.R. Cahoon, J. Donnelly, M. Kearney, A.S. Kolker, L.L. Leonard, R.A. Orson, and J.C. Stevenson, 2008: “Site-Specific Scenarios for Wetlands Accretion in the Mid-Atlantic Region. Section 2.1” in *Background Documents Supporting Climate Change Science Program Synthesis and Assessment Product 4.1: Coastal Elevations and Sensitivity to Sea Level Rise*, J.G. Titus and E.M. Strange (eds.), EPA430R07004, Washington, DC: U.S. EPA.
http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/effects/downloads/section2_1.pdf
- Stevenson and Kearney, 2008, “Impacts of Global Climate Change and Sea-Level Rise on Tidal Wetlands” Pending chapter of manuscript by University of California Press.
- Titus, J.G., R.A. Park, S.P. Leatherman, J.R. Weggel, M.S. Greene, P.W. Mause, M.S. Trehan, S. Brown, C. Grant, and G.W. Yohe. 1991. Greenhouse Effect and Sea Level Rise: Loss of Land and the Cost of Holding Back the Sea. *Coastal Management* 19:2:171-204.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Federal Highway Administration Western Federal Lands Highway Division. 2009. Environmental Assessment for the Ni-les’tun Unit of the Archie Carr National Wildlife Refuge Restoration and North Bank Land Improvement Project

Appendix A: Contextual Results


The SLAMM model does take into account the context of the surrounding lands or open water when calculating effects. For example, erosion rates are calculated based on the maximum fetch (wave action) which is estimated by assessing contiguous open water to a given marsh cell. Another example is that inundated dry lands will convert to marshes or ocean beach depending on their proximity to open ocean.

For this reason, an area larger than the boundaries of the USFWS refuge was modeled. These results maps are presented here with the following caveats:


- Results were closely examined (quality assurance) within USFWS refuges but not closely examined for the larger region.
- Site-specific parameters for the model were derived for USFWS refuges whenever possible and may not be regionally applicable.
- Especially in areas where dikes are present, an effort was made to assess the probable location and effects of dikes for USFWS refuges, but this effort was not made for surrounding areas.




Archie Carr National Wildlife Refuge within simulation context (black).




Archie Carr Context, Initial Condition




Archie Carr Context, 2025, Scenario A1B Mean




Archie Carr Context, 2050, Scenario A1B Mean




Archie Carr Context, 2075, Scenario A1B Mean




Archie Carr Context, 2100, Scenario A1B Mean




Archie Carr Context, Initial Condition




Archie Carr Context, 2025, Scenario A1B Maximum




Archie Carr Context, 2050, Scenario A1B Maximum




Archie Carr Context, 2075, Scenario A1B Maximum




Archie Carr Context, 2100, Scenario A1B Maximum




Archie Carr Context, Initial Condition




Archie Carr Context, 2025, 1 meter




Archie Carr Context, 2050, 1 meter




Archie Carr Context, 2075, 1 meter




Archie Carr Context, 2100, 1 meter




Archie Carr Context, Initial Condition




Archie Carr Context, 2025, 1.5 meter




Archie Carr Context, 2050, 1.5 meter




Archie Carr Context, 2075, 1.5 meter




Archie Carr Context, 2100, 1.5 meter




Archie Carr Context, Initial Condition




Archie Carr Context, 2025, 2 meter



Archie Carr Context, 2050, 2 meter



Archie Carr Context, 2075, 2 meter



Archie Carr Context, 2100, 2 meter